

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/034,848	12/26/2001	Eric Sion	BDL-374XX	9636
207	7590 08/08/2003			
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			EXAMINER	
	CEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109		CHEN, BRET P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1762	
			D	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/-					
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/034,848	SION ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	B. Chen	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from be, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	<u>July 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims	_						
	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oApplication Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bu	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/034,848

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 14-24 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

The amendment dated 7/31/03 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendments to the abstract, title, and the claims. In view of said amendments, the objections to the abstract and title have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leluan et al. (6,001,419) or Robin-Brosse et al. (6,410,088) for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,738,908 for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 5,789,026 for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that the references fail to teach a pre-heating the reactive gas.

The examiner agrees in part. It is noted that the reference teaches that temperature is an important parameter in densifying a porous substrate (col.4 lines 1-20 in Leluan and col.3 lines 5-27 in Robin-Brosse). Both references require heating the gas and substrate to infiltrate the gas into the substrate. One skilled in the art would realize from the passages above that in order to densify a substrate, a heated gas and a heated substrate is required. While the references remain silent on pre-heating the gas prior to entering the oven, the examiner contends that as long as the gas is heated before infiltrating the substrate, the same product would be obtained. If the applicant can establish criticality associated with preheating the gas before entering the oven, the examiner will consider withdrawing the present art rejection. In addition, the examiner still contends that the ODP rejection still stands.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,848

Art Unit: 1762

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

August 6, 2003

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER